



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/657,812	09/08/2000	Uwe Heitmann	31653-165337 RK	2986
26694	7590 02/05/2003			
VENABLE, BAETJER, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 34 WASHINGT	1385 CON, DC 20043-9998		LOPEZ, CARLOS N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731 DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		A9-12
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/657,812	HEITMANN, UWE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carlos Lopez	1731
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 271	November 2002 .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	1.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-19 is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,23-24</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>8-12 and 20-22</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ , ,,	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	Application No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has t	peen received.
Attachment(s)	p, under 00 0.0.0	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/657,812

Art Unit: 1731

The amendment filed on 11/27/03 has been entered as Paper No.11. The amendment obviates the rejections of claims 5-9 and 20-22 made under 35 U.S.C 112 2nd Paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1) Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Molins et al (GB 1,442,751). Molins et al disclose an arrangement for reducing noise emanating from a cigarette-making machine¹. The arrangement comprises of damping mats disposed on cladding enclosing the cigarette-making machine². As for claim 2, cigarette-making machines 45 and 30 are disposed at an angle to one another².

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2) Claims 1, 5-7 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molins et al(GB 1,442,751) as applied to claim 1 above, and further

² See Figure 1.

¹ Page 2 lines 1-15 and Page 3 lines102-110.

Art Unit: 1731

in view of Kiesewette (DE 2758041) and Fuchs et al (US 5,975,238). Molins sound damping mats comprises of plywood or sheet metal². Molins is silent disclosing exchangeable damping mats in the form of truncated cones. However, DE 2758041 teaches that truncated cones provide efficient noise damping characteristics and easy to clean damping elements. Furthermore as taught by Fuchs, the mounting and dismounting of damping elements via numerous joining methods such screws (Fuchs' claim 6) is advantageous in order to remove or dispose of residues on the damping elements without difficulty³. At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Molins damping mats as detachable truncated cones as taught by Kiesewette and Fuchs in order to provide damping mats that are more efficient in noise damping and are easy to clean. Furthermore, the claimed truncated cones damping elements are well known as shown by Jablonka (US 4,555,433)⁴.

As for claims 23-24, external noise of the tobacco machine would be absorbed/minimally reflected by the damping materials.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-12 and 20-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The cited prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest a damping mats between the inside and outside cladding sheets of a removable carriage of a tobacco processing machine as recited in

³ Column 5 lines 14-22.

⁴ Column 1 lines 65-68.

Application/Control Number: 09/657,812

Art Unit: 1731

claims 8-9. The prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest a inside and outside cladding sheets with reflection surfaces interposed between the sheets as recited in claims 10-11. The cited prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest a tobacco machine including a profile strip having a cladding sheet inserted therein and limiting a hollow space filled with damping mats as recited in claim 12. Nor the cited prior art disclose or reasonably suggest a tobacco machine having sound damping material cladding the flow conduits as recited in claims 20-22.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/27/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the damping material is disposed on the reflection surfaces facing the operator where as in Molins the damping material is the reflection surfaces. Molins discloses that "sound proofing panel forming the enclosure may, for example, have an outer skin formed from ½ inch thick plywood or 16 gauge sheet metal". It is considered that a ½ inch thick plywood is sound damping material.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Lopez whose telephone number is (703) 605-1174. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on (703) 308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7718 for regular communications and (703) 305-3599 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/657,812

Art Unit: 1731

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

C.L

February 2, 2003

JAMES DERRINGTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 137 / 73/